

Pre-quantization of the Moduli Space of Flat G -Bundles over a Surface

Derek Krepski

September 29, 2008

Abstract

For a simply connected, compact, simple Lie group G , the moduli space of flat G -bundles over a closed surface Σ is known to be pre-quantizable at integer levels. For non-simply connected G , however, integrality of the level is not sufficient for pre-quantization, and this paper determines the obstruction — namely a certain cohomology class in $H^3(G^2; \mathbb{Z})$ — that places further restrictions on the underlying level. The levels that admit a pre-quantization of the moduli space are determined explicitly for all non-simply connected, compact, simple Lie groups G .

1 Introduction

Let G be a compact, connected simple Lie group (not necessarily simply connected) with universal cover $\tilde{G}$, and let Σ be an oriented compact surface of genus g with one boundary component. The moduli space $M(\Sigma) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), G)$ of framed flat G -bundles over Σ is a smooth finite dimensional manifold. $M(\Sigma)$ is naturally a quasi-Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}$ -space [1], and this paper considers the pre-quantization of $M(\Sigma)$ (see Section 3). To be specific, the definition of a quasi-Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}$ -space involves a choice of a level $l > 0$ — a multiple of the basic inner product on the Lie algebra of $\tilde{G}$ — and this work determines the levels l for which the moduli space $M(\Sigma)$ is pre-quantizable. The main result of this paper is that $M(\Sigma)$ is pre-quantizable if and only if the underlying level l is an integer multiple of the integer l_0 listed in Table 1. (When G is simply connected, it is well known that $l_0 = 1$; therefore, this paper is primarily concerned with G 's that are not simply connected.)

Table 1: The integer l_0 for non-simply connected G

$\tilde{G}$	$Z(\tilde{G})$	Γ	G	l_0
$SU(n), n \geq 2$	$\mathbb{Z}_n$	$\mathbb{Z}_n$ $\mathbb{Z}_l, 1 < l < n$	$PSU(n)$ $SU(n)/\mathbb{Z}_l$	n $\text{ord}_l(\frac{n}{l})$
$Sp(n), n \geq 1$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$PSp(n)$	$1 + \rho(n)$
$Spin(n), n \geq 7$ odd	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$SO(n)$	1
$Spin(2n),$ $n \geq 5$ odd	$\mathbb{Z}_4$	$\mathbb{Z}_4$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$	$PSO(2n)$ $SO(2n)$	4 1
$Spin(4n), n \geq 2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$	$PSO(4n)$ $Ss(4n)$ $SO(4n)$	2 $1 + \rho(n)$ 1
E_6	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	PE_6	3
E_7	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	PE_7	2

In Table 1, $\Gamma = \pi_1(G)$, and hence $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$. Also, $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is reduction mod 2, and $\text{ord}_l(x)$ denotes the order of $x \bmod l$ in $\mathbb{Z}_l$. (See Section 5 with regards to other notational ambiguities.)

A curiosity is that a similar table (Proposition 3.6.2 in [19]) appears in a paper by Toledano-Laredo in a different context. In [19], Toledano-Laredo classifies irreducible positive energy representations of loop groups LG . The reason for the similarity in the tables is not yet understood.

The results in this paper extend readily to the moduli space $M(\Sigma, \mathcal{C})$, the moduli space of flat G -bundles over Σ with holonomy around $\partial\Sigma$ in the prescribed conjugacy class $\mathcal{C} \subset G$. That is, since $M(\Sigma, \mathcal{C})$ is the symplectic quotient of the fusion product $M(\Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{C}$, Propositions 3.6 and 3.8 (which describe how pre-quantization interacts with symplectic reduction and fusion) completely address the pre-quantization of $M(\Sigma, \mathcal{C})$ (see Remarks 3.7 and 4.5).

The plan of attack is to rephrase the problem of determining l_0 in terms of the cohomology of Lie groups. Theorem 4.4 realizes the integer l_0 as the generator of $\ker \tilde{\phi}^* \subset \mathbb{Z} \cong H^3(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z})$, where $\tilde{\phi} : G^2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ is the canonical lift of the commutator map $\phi : G^2 \rightarrow G$. Using the classification of compact simple Lie groups, and known results about their cohomology rings (as Hopf algebras), the integer l_0 is then computed in Section 5.

It is not surprising that the integer l_0 is the result of a cohomology calculation, since this

paper views pre-quantization in the framework of cohomology to begin with. As discussed in Section 3, a pre-quantization of a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space is an *integral lift* of a certain G -equivariant de Rham cohomology class that is associated to the quasi-Hamiltonian G -space (see Remark 2.2). This is analogous to the pre-quantization of an ordinary symplectic manifold (M, ω) , where a pre-quantization is usually defined as a complex line bundle over M , equipped with a connection whose curvature is the corresponding symplectic form ω (see [5]). By the well known correspondence between line bundles over M and $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$, a pre-quantization gives an integral lift of the de Rham cohomology class $[\omega] \in H_{dR}^2(M)$ determined by the symplectic form. One may make similar analogies with the equivariant pre-quantization of Hamiltonian G -manifolds, G -equivariant cohomology classes, and G -equivariant line bundles as well; the interested reader is referred to [5].

As discussed in [1], the theory of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces is equivalent to the theory of Hamiltonian loop group spaces; therefore, pre-quantization may be interpreted in either setting. This is considered in Appendix A. Pre-quantization may also be studied in the language of pre-quasi-symplectic groupoids — see [10] for such a treatment. Also of interest is the related work [4].

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank my advisors Eckhard Meinrenken and Paul Selick for their guidance, support, and patience. My sincere thanks to Lisa Jeffrey as well, for many helpful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

This section is a review of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces, and serves mainly to establish notation. The reader should consult [1] for details.

For a (not necessarily simple) compact Lie group G , choose an invariant positive definite inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\eta = \frac{1}{12}(\theta^L, [\theta^L, \theta^L]) = \frac{1}{12}(\theta^R, [\theta^R, \theta^R]) \in \Omega^3(G)$ be the canonical 3-form for this choice of inner product. Here θ^L and θ^R are the left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms, respectively. The group G itself is considered as a G -manifold, acting by conjugation.

Definition 2.1. *A quasi-Hamiltonian G -space is a triple (M, ω, ϕ) consisting of a G -manifold M , an invariant 2-form ω on M , and an equivariant map (called the moment map) $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ satisfying:*

$$(1) \quad d\omega + \phi^*\eta = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \iota_{\xi^\sharp}\omega = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^*(\theta^L + \theta^R, \xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$$

$$(3) \quad \text{At every point } p \in M, \ker \omega_p \cap \ker d\phi|_p = \{0\}$$

Remark 2.2. Notice that condition (1) of Definition 2.1 says that the pair (ω, η) defines a cocycle of dimension 3 in $\Omega^*(\phi)$, the algebraic mapping cone of $\phi^* : \Omega^*(G) \rightarrow \Omega^*(M)$. Thus the pair determines a cohomology class $[(\omega, \eta)] \in H^3(\phi; \mathbb{R})$. In fact, conditions (1) and (2) above can be re-expressed in terms of the Cartan model for equivariant differential forms on M (see [6]). Specifically, (1) and (2) may be replaced by the single relation

$$d_G\omega + \phi^*\eta_G = 0$$

where ω is viewed as an equivariant differential form and η_G is the equivariant differential form given by $\eta_G(\xi) = \eta + \frac{1}{2}(\theta^L + \theta^R, \xi)$ in the Cartan model. Therefore conditions (1) and (2) give an equivariant cohomology class $[(\omega, \eta_G)] \in H_G^3(\phi; \mathbb{R})$. This is the salient feature of the above definition, for the purposes of this paper. (In fact, this paper does not make use of condition (3) above.)

N.B. Throughout this paper, de Rham cohomology $H_{dR}^*(\quad)$ will be identified with singular cohomology with real coefficients $H^*(\quad; \mathbb{R})$ via the canonical isomorphism.

If G is simple, the invariant inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is necessarily a multiple of the basic inner product B : the invariant inner product normalized to make short co-roots have length $\sqrt{2}$. The canonical 3-form η associated to the basic inner product B will be denoted η_1 .

Definition 2.3. Let G be a simple compact Lie group. The quasi-Hamiltonian G -space (M, ω, ϕ) is said to be at level $l > 0$ if the invariant inner product chosen on $\mathfrak{g}$ is lB .

The following two facts are included for reference. Fact 2.4 describes how the product of two quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces is naturally a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space, and Fact 2.5 is the quasi-Hamiltonian analogue of Meyer-Marsden-Weinstein reduction in symplectic geometry.

Fact 2.4 (Fusion). Let (M_1, ω_1, ϕ_1) and (M_2, ω_2, ϕ_2) be quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ is a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space, with diagonal G -action, invariant 2-form $\omega = \text{pr}_1^*\omega_1 + \text{pr}_2^*\omega_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\phi_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\phi_2^*\theta^R)$, and group-valued moment map $\phi = \mu \circ (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)$, where $\mu : G^2 \rightarrow G$ is group multiplication. This quasi-Hamiltonian G -space is called the fusion product, and is denoted $(M_1 \circledast M_2, \omega, \phi)$.

Fact 2.5 (Reduction). *Let (M, ω, ϕ) be a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space. For any $h \in G$ that is a regular value, the centralizer Z_h of h acts locally freely on the level set $\phi^{-1}(h)$, and the restriction of ω to $\phi^{-1}(h)$ descends to a symplectic form on the orbifold $\phi^{-1}(h)/Z_h$. In particular, if the identity e is a regular value, and $j : \phi^{-1}(e) \rightarrow M$ denotes the inclusion, then $j^*\omega$ descends to a symplectic form ω_{red} on $M//G := \phi^{-1}(e)/G$.*

In analogy with co-adjoint orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ — central examples in the theory of Hamiltonian G -spaces — conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C} \subset G$ are important examples of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces. The group-valued moment map is just the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow G$. Notice that h is a regular value for the moment map $\phi : M \rightarrow G$ if and only if the identity $e \in G$ is a regular value for the moment map of the fusion product $\Phi = \mu \circ (\phi \times \iota) : M \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow G$, where $\mathcal{C} \subset G$ is the conjugacy class containing h^{-1} . In this case, there is a canonical identification of quotients:

$$\phi^{-1}(h)/Z_h \cong \Phi^{-1}(e)/G = (M \otimes \mathcal{C})//G.$$

Finally, recall another main example of a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space: the double $\mathbf{D}(G) := G^2$ of a compact Lie group G . In particular, there is a G -invariant 2-form ω such that (G^2, ω, ϕ) is a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space with moment map $\phi(a, b) = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Here G acts by conjugation on each factor. Notice that the moment map ϕ lifts canonically to $\tilde{G}$, the universal cover of G , and G^2 may be viewed (as it is in this work) as a quasi-Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}$ -space.

G^{2g} , the g -fold fusion product of $\mathbf{D}(G)$, has a geometric interpretation that is discussed next for compact, connected, simple Lie groups G . Let Σ be a compact oriented surface of genus g with one boundary component, and fix a basepoint $b \in \partial\Sigma$. The moduli space $M(\Sigma)$ is the set of equivalence classes of triples (P, θ, ψ) , where $P \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a principal G -bundle equipped with a flat connection θ and $\psi : P|_b \cong G$ is a *framing*. Two such triples (P_i, θ_i, ψ_i) are equivalent if there is a gauge transformation $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ with $f^*\theta_2 = \theta_1$, and $f^*\psi_2 = \psi_1$. Since Σ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles, every principal G -bundle over Σ is trivial. Therefore $M(\Sigma)$ may also be viewed as the space of flat connections on $\Sigma \times G \rightarrow \Sigma$, up to based gauge transformations (i.e. gauge transformations f whose restriction to $\{b\} \times G$ is the identity). Holonomy defines the natural identification $M(\Sigma) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma), G)$, which may further be identified with G^{2g} after choosing generators of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$.

The moment map $\phi_g : G^{2g} \rightarrow G$, $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g) \mapsto \prod [a_i, b_i]$, may then be interpreted as sending an equivalence class of flat connections $[\theta] \in M(\Sigma)$ to its holonomy around the boundary $\partial\Sigma$. The level set $\phi_g^{-1}(e)$ is then simply the equivalence class of flat connections

(up to based gauge transformations) whose holonomy around $\partial\Sigma$ is trivial. Now, $M(\Sigma)$ is equipped with a $G \cong \mathcal{G}(\Sigma)/\mathcal{G}_b(\Sigma)$ action, where $\mathcal{G}_b(\Sigma)$, and $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma)$ denote the based and full gauge group, respectively. Therefore, the symplectic quotient $\phi_g^{-1}(e)/G$ may be viewed as the moduli space of flat connections on $\Sigma \times G \rightarrow \Sigma$ (up to gauge transformations) with trivial holonomy around $\partial\Sigma$.

Recall that the kernel Γ of the universal covering homomorphism $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is a finite central subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. The canonical lift $\tilde{\phi}_g : G^{2g} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ of the moment map ϕ_g may be interpreted as sending an equivalence class of connections θ to the holonomy of the $\tilde{G}$ -connection $(1 \times \pi)^*\theta$ around $\partial\Sigma$ for the $\tilde{G}$ -bundle $\Sigma \times \tilde{G} \rightarrow \Sigma$. It is easy to see that

$$\phi_g^{-1}(e)/G = \coprod_{z \in \Gamma} \tilde{\phi}_g^{-1}(z)/\tilde{G} \quad (1)$$

which describes the connected components of $M(\Sigma)//G$. (Note that the fibers $\tilde{\phi}_g^{-1}(z)$ are connected by Theorem 7.2 in [1].)

The decomposition (1) is illuminating in the setting where the underlying surface has no boundary. Indeed, let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be the surface obtained by attaching a disc D to Σ with attaching map $\partial D \xrightarrow{=} \partial\Sigma \subset \Sigma$. Recall that there is a bijective correspondence between principal G -bundles over $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $\pi_1(G) \cong \Gamma$: every principal G -bundle over $\hat{\Sigma}$ can be constructed by gluing together trivial bundles over Σ and D with a transition function $S^1 = \Sigma \cap D \rightarrow G$. The moduli space of flat G -bundles over $\hat{\Sigma}$ (up to gauge transformation), denoted $M(\hat{\Sigma})$, can thus be identified with the symplectic quotient $M(\Sigma)//G$, and the above decomposition describes the components of $M(\hat{\Sigma})$ in terms of the bundle types enumerated by Γ . That is, $\tilde{\phi}_g^{-1}(z)/\tilde{G}$ may be thought of as the moduli space of flat connections on $P \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}$ (up to gauge transformation) where $P \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}$ is a principal G -bundle corresponding to $z \in \Gamma$.

Similarly, the symplectic quotients $\phi_g^{-1}(h)/Z_h \cong (M(\Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{C})//G$ at other regular values h may be viewed as the moduli space of flat connections whose holonomy around $\partial\Sigma$ lies in the conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ containing h^{-1} . And similar to (1), there is the decomposition

$$(M(\Sigma) \otimes \mathcal{C})//G = \coprod_j (M(\Sigma) \times \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j)/\tilde{G} \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j \subset \tilde{G}$ are the conjugacy classes that cover $\mathcal{C} \subset G$.

3 Pre-quantization of Quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces

This section addresses pre-quantization in the context of quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces. As mentioned in the introduction, one may wish to compare this with the situation for ordinary symplectic manifolds, and Hamiltonian G -manifolds (see [5]).

Definition 3.1. *A pre-quantization of a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space (M, ω, ϕ) is an integral lift of $[(\omega, \eta_G)] \in H_G^3(\phi; \mathbb{R})$. That is, a pre-quantization is a cohomology class $\alpha \in H_G^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha) = [(\omega, \eta_G)]$, where $\iota_{\mathbb{R}} : H_G^*(\phi; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_G^*(\phi; \mathbb{R})$ is the coefficient homomorphism.*

Remark 3.2. *There is a geometric interpretation of Definition 3.1, in terms of relative gerbes and quasi-line bundles (see [18]) that is analogous to the situation for symplectic manifolds, where pre-quantization is defined as a line bundle [5].*

Proposition 3.5 below shows that a pre-quantization may be viewed as an ordinary cohomology class when G is simply connected.

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be simply connected, and let X be some G -space. Then (with any coefficients) $H_G^i(X) \cong H^i(X)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and the canonical map $H_G^3(X) \rightarrow H^3(X)$ is injective.*

Proof. Consider the Serre spectral sequence for the fibration $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X_G \rightarrow BG$ where X_G denotes the Borel construction. As G is simply connected, $H^i(BG) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore the first non-zero differential (i.e. the transgression) is $d : H^3(X) \rightarrow H^4(BG)$, and therefore $H^i(X) = H^i(X_G)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\pi^* : H^3(X_G) \rightarrow H^3(X)$ is injective. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *If G is simply connected, then (with any coefficients) $H_G^3(G) \cong H^3(G)$.*

Proof. From the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for the fibration $G \times EG \xrightarrow{p} G_G \rightarrow BG$, it is clear that p (the quotient map in the Borel construction) is an isomorphism on $\pi_k(\quad)$ for $k = 1$ and 2 , and a surjection for $k = 3$. In particular $\pi_1(G_G) = \pi_2(G_G) = 0$. Now, the connecting homomorphism $\partial : \pi_4(BG) \rightarrow \pi_3(G)$ may be viewed as the induced homomorphism on $\pi_3(\quad)$ of the map $j : G \rightarrow G \times EG$, which includes G as the fiber of p . But j is null homotopic, as it sends $g \in G$ to $g \cdot (e, *) = (e, g \cdot *)$, thus factoring through the contractible space EG . Therefore j induces the zero map on $\pi_3(\quad)$ (i.e. $\partial = 0$), and hence p induces an isomorphism on $\pi_3(\quad)$ as well. Therefore by the Hurewicz Theorem, p induces an isomorphism on $H_3(\quad; \mathbb{Z})$, and therefore on $H^3(\quad; \mathbb{Z})$ as well. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose G is simply connected, and (M, ω, ϕ) is a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space. Then (M, ω, ϕ) admits a pre-quantization if and only if the cohomology class $[(\omega, \eta)] \in H^3(\phi; \mathbb{R})$ is integral (i.e. in the image of the coefficient homomorphism $\iota_{\mathbb{R}} : H^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(\phi; \mathbb{R})$).*

Proof. Easy applications of Lemma 3.3 with $X = M$ and $X = G$, Lemma 3.4, and the five-lemma show that $H_G^3(\phi) \cong H^3(\phi)$. $\square$

Under certain circumstances, the symplectic quotient of a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space will be a smooth symplectic manifold, and one may ask whether a pre-quantization *descends* to a pre-quantization of the symplectic quotient. (Recall that a pre-quantization of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) may be defined as a cohomology class $\alpha \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ with $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha) = [\omega]$ — see [5].)

Proposition 3.6. *Let (M, ω, ϕ) be a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space, and suppose the identity $e \in G$ is a regular value for the moment map ϕ . If (M, ω, ϕ) admits a pre-quantization, then the cohomology class $[j^*\omega] \in H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e); \mathbb{R})$ is integral.*

Proof. There is a canonical map $H_G^3(\phi) \rightarrow H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e))$ (with any coefficients) given by the composition of the map induced by restriction $H_G^3(\phi) \rightarrow H_G^3(\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(e)})$ and the projection onto the first summand

$$H_G^3(\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(e)}) \cong H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e)) \oplus H_G^3(pt) \rightarrow H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e)).$$

In other words, there is a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_G^2(M) & \longrightarrow & H_G^3(\phi) & \longrightarrow & H_G^3(G) \\ \downarrow j^* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e)) & \rightarrow & H_G^3(\phi|_{\phi^{-1}(e)}) & \rightarrow & H_G^3(pt) \end{array}$$

in which the bottom row is (canonically) split exact with any coefficients. Since $H_G^3(pt; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ it suffices to check that (with real coefficients) the middle map sends the relative cohomology class $[(\omega, \eta_G)]$ to $[(j^*\omega, 0)]$, which is clear. $\square$

Remark 3.7. *If in the previous proposition G acts freely on the level set $\phi^{-1}(e)$, one finds that the symplectic quotient $M//G = \phi^{-1}(e)/G$ is prequantizable, since $H_G^2(\phi^{-1}(e)) \cong H^2(M//G)$, and therefore the cohomology class $[\omega_{red}]$ is integral. Said differently, the previous proposition says that if (M, ω, ϕ) is a pre-quantized quasi-Hamiltonian G -space and*

$e \in G$ is a regular value, then there is a G -equivariant pre-quantum line bundle over the level set $\phi^{-1}(e)$ (see [5]). And under the additional hypothesis that G acts freely on $\phi^{-1}(e)$, this G -equivariant line bundle descends to a pre-quantum line bundle over the symplectic quotient. Of course, if G only acts locally-freely on $\phi^{-1}(e)$, one obtains instead an orbi-bundle over the symplectic quotient. (The reader may wish to consult [13] for a more thorough account of pre-quantization of singular spaces.)

Proposition 3.8 shows that the fusion product $M_1 \circledast M_2$ of two pre-quantizable quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces is pre-quantizable. In fact, the proof of the proposition shows that the pre-quantization of $M_1 \circledast M_2$ is canonically obtained from the pre-quantizations of M_1 and M_2 .

Proposition 3.8. *Let G be simply connected. If (M_1, ω_1, ϕ_1) and (M_2, ω_2, ϕ_2) are pre-quantized quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces, then their fusion product $(M_1 \circledast M_2, \omega, \phi)$ inherits a pre-quantization.*

Proof. First, recall that for a manifold X , the sub-complex of smooth singular cochains $S_{sm}^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is chain homotopy equivalent to the singular cochain complex $S^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Both $S_{sm}^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and the de Rham complex of differential forms on X may be viewed as sub-complexes of $S_{sm}^*(X; \mathbb{R})$ [11].

By Proposition 3.5 it suffices to construct a cohomology class $[\alpha] \in S_{sm}^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}([\alpha]) = [(\omega, \eta)]$, where $\omega = \text{pr}_1^* \omega_1 + \text{pr}_2^* \omega_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^* \phi_1^* \theta^L, \text{pr}_2^* \phi_2^* \theta^R)$, and $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the coefficient homomorphism.

To begin, choose a cochain representative $\bar{\eta} \in S_{sm}^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ (unique up to coboundary) that satisfies $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}([\bar{\eta}]) = [\eta]$. For concreteness, let $\varepsilon \in S_{sm}^2(G; \mathbb{R})$ be a smooth cochain that satisfies $\bar{\eta} - \eta = d\varepsilon$. Since the cohomology class $[\bar{\eta}] \in H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ is primitive (as G is simply connected), there exists a smooth cochain $\tau \in S_{sm}^2(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$ (unique up to coboundary, given the choice of $\bar{\eta}$) that satisfies $d\tau = \mu^* \bar{\eta} - \text{pr}_1^* \bar{\eta} - \text{pr}_2^* \bar{\eta}$.

Since (for $i = 1$ and 2) (M_i, ω_i, ϕ_i) is pre-quantized, there exists a cochain representative $\bar{\omega}_i \in S_{sm}^2(M_i; \mathbb{Z})$ (unique up to coboundary, given the choice of $\bar{\eta}$) such that $\bar{\omega}_i - \omega_i + \phi_i^* \varepsilon$ is exact in $S_{sm}^2(M_i; \mathbb{R})$, so that $[(\bar{\omega}_i, \bar{\eta})]$ is the given pre-quantization of (M_i, ω_i, ϕ_i) .

Define the smooth relative cochain $\alpha = (\text{pr}_1^* \bar{\omega}_1 + \text{pr}_2^* \bar{\omega}_2 - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^* \tau, \bar{\eta})$ in $S_{sm}^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z})$. It must be verified that (a) α is a relative cocycle that is cohomologous to (ω, η) with real coefficients, and (b) $[\alpha]$ is independent of the choice of $\bar{\eta}$, and the subsequent choices of τ and $\bar{\omega}_i$. It will follow that $[\alpha]$ is the desired pre-quantization.

It is clear that $d\alpha = 0$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\text{pr}_1^*\bar{\omega}_1 + \text{pr}_2^*\bar{\omega}_2 - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*\tau) &= \text{pr}_1^*(-\phi_1^*\bar{\eta}) + \text{pr}_2^*(-\phi_2^*\bar{\eta}) \\
&\quad - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*(\mu^*\bar{\eta} - \text{pr}_1^*\bar{\eta} - \text{pr}_2^*\bar{\eta}) \\
&= \text{pr}_1^*(-\phi_1^*\bar{\eta}) + \text{pr}_2^*(-\phi_2^*\bar{\eta}) \\
&\quad - \phi^*\bar{\eta} + \text{pr}_1^*\phi_1^*\bar{\eta} + \text{pr}_2^*\phi_2^*\bar{\eta} \\
&= -\phi^*\bar{\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

And α is cohomologous to (ω, η) with real coefficients, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha - (\omega, \eta) &= (\text{pr}_1^*\bar{\omega}_1 + \text{pr}_2^*\bar{\omega}_2 - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*\tau \\
&\quad - (\text{pr}_1^*\omega_1 + \text{pr}_2^*\omega_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\phi_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\phi_2^*\theta^R), \bar{\eta} - \eta) \\
&= (\text{pr}_1^*(\bar{\omega}_1 - \omega_1) + \text{pr}_2^*(\bar{\omega}_2 - \omega_2) - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\phi_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\phi_2^*\theta^R), d\varepsilon) \\
&= (\text{pr}_1^*(du_1 - \phi_1^*\varepsilon) + \text{pr}_2^*(du_2 - \phi_2^*\varepsilon) \\
&\quad - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*(\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\theta^R)), d\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

for some primitives u_i of $\bar{\omega}_i - \omega_i + \phi_i^*\varepsilon$. And since $\mu^*\eta = \text{pr}_1^*\eta + \text{pr}_2^*\eta - \frac{1}{2}d(\text{pr}_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\theta^R)$, it follows that $d(\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\theta^R)) = d(\mu^*\varepsilon - \text{pr}_1^*\varepsilon - \text{pr}_2^*\varepsilon)$. Therefore $\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\theta^R) - \mu^*\varepsilon + \text{pr}_1^*\varepsilon + \text{pr}_2^*\varepsilon$ is exact since $H^2(G \times G; \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha - (\omega, \eta) &= (\text{pr}_1^*(du_1 - \phi_1^*\varepsilon) + \text{pr}_2^*(du_2 - \phi_2^*\varepsilon) \\
&\quad - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*(\mu^*\varepsilon - \text{pr}_1^*\varepsilon - \text{pr}_2^*\varepsilon + dv), d\varepsilon) \\
&= d(\text{pr}_1^*u_1 + \text{pr}_2^*u_2 - (\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*v, -\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

where v is some primitive of $\tau + \frac{1}{2}(\text{pr}_1^*\theta^L, \text{pr}_2^*\theta^R) - \mu^*\varepsilon + \text{pr}_1^*\varepsilon + \text{pr}_2^*\varepsilon$.

Lastly, it is straightforward to check that the cohomology class $[\alpha]$ does not depend on the choices made. Given a choice of $\bar{\eta}$, changing $\bar{\omega}_i$ to $\bar{\omega}_i + d\beta_i$ changes α by $d(\beta_i, 0)$. Changing τ to $\tau + d\gamma$ changes α by $d(-(\phi_1 \times \phi_2)^*\gamma, 0)$. Finally, if $\bar{\eta}$ is changed to $\bar{\eta}' = \bar{\eta} + d\rho$, then one may choose cochains $\bar{\omega}'_i = \bar{\omega}_i - \phi_i^*\rho$ and $\tau' = \tau + \mu^*\rho - \text{pr}_1^*\rho - \text{pr}_2^*\rho$ that satisfy the appropriate properties, producing the relative cocycle α' . It is easy to see that $\alpha' - \alpha = d(0, -\rho)$. $\square$

4 Pre-quantization of G^{2g} : the level as an obstruction

Let G be a simple, compact, connected Lie group. This section finds the obstruction to the pre-quantization of G^{2g} , namely a certain cohomology class in $H^3(G^{2g}; \mathbb{Z})$. Theorem 4.4 states that this obstruction vanishes at certain levels (i.e. for certain choices of inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$).

Lemma 4.1. *Let (M, ω, ϕ) be a level l quasi-Hamiltonian G -space. If G is simply connected, and (M, ω, ϕ) admits a pre-quantization, then $l \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Indeed, in the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^3(\phi; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^3(G; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

the class $[(\omega, \eta)]$ maps to $[\eta]$. Therefore an integral pre-image of $[(\omega, \eta)]$ gives an integral pre-image of $[\eta]$. It is well known that the generator of $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ maps to $[\eta_1]$ under the coefficient homomorphism $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}$. (See [16], for example.) Since $\eta = l \cdot \eta_1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let (M, ω, ϕ) be a level l quasi-Hamiltonian G -space. Assume $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$, and G is simply connected. Then (M, ω, ϕ) admits a pre-quantization if and only if $l \in \ker \phi^* \subset \mathbb{Z} = H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The previous lemma shows that when (M, ω, ϕ) admits a pre-quantization, l is in the image of $H^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore in the kernel of ϕ^* .

Conversely, suppose l is in the kernel of ϕ^* , or equivalently in the image of $H^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{q} H^3(G; \mathbb{Z})$. As in the previous lemma, l yields an integral lift of $[\eta]$. Choose $\alpha \in H^3(\phi; \mathbb{Z})$ with $q(\alpha) = l$, or with real coefficients, $q(\iota_{\mathbb{R}}\alpha) = [\eta]$. Since $H^2(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$, the map q is an injection with real coefficients. Therefore $\iota_{\mathbb{R}}\alpha = [(\omega, \eta)]$. $\square$

In particular, to decide which multiples lB of the basic inner product B admit a pre-quantization of G^{2g} , it suffices to determine which $l \in \mathbb{N}$ are in the kernel of $\tilde{\phi}_g^*$. The kernel is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$, and is therefore generated by some least positive integer l_0 , which will be computed in Section 5.

Remark 4.3. *Note that when G is simple, a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space necessarily satisfies $\phi^* = 0$ in degree 3 cohomology with real coefficients (by condition (1) of Definition 2.1). Therefore with integer coefficients, the image of ϕ^* is necessarily torsion.*

The problem of determining l_0 is independent of g . To see this, let $\phi : G^2 \rightarrow G$ denote the commutator map, and $\tilde{\phi} : G^2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ its natural lift. Then the moment map $\phi_g : G^{2g} \rightarrow G$ lifts naturally as

$$\tilde{\phi}_g = \mu_g \circ \underbrace{(\tilde{\phi} \times \cdots \times \tilde{\phi})}_g$$

where $\mu_g : \tilde{G}^g \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ denotes successive multiplication $\mu_g(x_1, \dots, x_g) = x_1 \cdots x_g$.

This gives $\ker \tilde{\phi} \subset \ker \tilde{\phi}_g$. Indeed, the generator $z_3 \in H^3(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies $\mu^*(z_3) = z_3 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z_3$. (Note that by the Künneth formula, $H^3(\tilde{G}^2; \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^0(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^0(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^3(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z})$.) And successive multiplication μ_g will therefore send z_3 to a sum of tensors, where each tensor contains exactly one z_3 and $(g-1)$ 1's. Therefore applying $(\tilde{\phi} \times \cdots \times \tilde{\phi})^*$ to the resulting sum of tensors gives zero if $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3) = 0$.

In fact, considering the inclusion $i : G^2 \rightarrow G^{2g}$ as the first pair of factors, one finds that $\tilde{\phi}$ factors as

$$\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi}_g \circ i$$

Therefore $\ker \tilde{\phi}_g \subset \ker \tilde{\phi}$, which together with Proposition 4.2 shows:

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a simple compact connected Lie group, and $\tilde{G}$ its universal cover. Let ϕ denote the commutator map in G , and $\tilde{\phi} : G^2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ its natural lift. The quasi-Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}$ -space $(G^{2g}, \omega, \tilde{\phi}_g)$ admits a pre-quantization if and only if the underlying level $l = ml_0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e. the chosen invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ is $ml_0 B$) where $l_0 > 0$ is the generator of $\ker\{\tilde{\phi}^* : H^3(\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(G^2; \mathbb{Z})\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.*

Remark 4.5. *As mentioned in the introduction, the above theorem combined with Theorem 6.1 of [12], and Proposition 3.8 give necessary and sufficient conditions for the pre-quantization of the quasi-Hamiltonian $\tilde{G}$ -space $G^{2g} \times \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a conjugacy class in $\tilde{G}$. In particular, the decomposition (2) in Section 2 shows that these results extend to the symplectic quotients $(G^{2g} \times \mathcal{C})//G$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a conjugacy class in G .*

5 Computing the integer l_0

The computation of l_0 (see Theorem 4.4) uses the classification of compact simple Lie groups, and known results about their cohomology. The strategy for determining the image of $\tilde{\phi}^*$ with integer coefficients is to compute $\tilde{\phi}^*$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ (see Remark 4.3), and coefficients

in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for every prime p . This information is then pieced back together with the use of the Bockstein spectral sequence in order to deduce the image of $\tilde{\phi}^*$ with integer coefficients. (See [17] for details about the Bockstein spectral sequence.)

Before beginning the calculation, it may be useful to establish some notation, and recall some elementary facts. Let G be a topological group, with multiplication map $\mu_G : G \times G \rightarrow G$ (denoted μ when the group G is understood). The commutator map $\phi_G : G \times G \rightarrow G$ (denoted ϕ when the group G is understood) is the composition given by:

$$G^2 \xrightarrow{\Delta \times \Delta} G^4 \xrightarrow{1 \times T \times 1} G^4 \xrightarrow{1 \times 1 \times c \times c} G^4 \xrightarrow{\mu \times \mu} G^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} G$$

where:

- $\Delta : G \rightarrow G^2$ denotes the diagonal map $\Delta(g) = (g, g)$
- $T : G^2 \rightarrow G^2$ is the switching map $T(g, h) = (h, g)$
- $c : G \rightarrow G$ is inversion $c(g) = g^{-1}$

These maps induce the following on cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients (using the identification $H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G) \cong H^*(G \times G)$):

- $\Delta^* : H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G)$ is the algebra multiplication
- $T^*(u \otimes v) = (-1)^{|u||v|} v \otimes u$
- $\mu^* : H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G)$ is the co-algebra co-multiplication
- $c^*(u) = -u$ if u is primitive. If u is not primitive, $c^*(u)$ may be calculated with the knowledge of $c^*(v)$ for all v of smaller degree, and the fact that the composition $G \xrightarrow{\Delta} G^2 \xrightarrow{1 \times c} G^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} G$ is trivial.

Throughout this section, $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ denotes the universal covering homomorphism, and hence $G = \tilde{G}/\Gamma$, where Γ is a finite central subgroup of $\tilde{G}$. Let $\tilde{\phi}_G = \tilde{\phi} : G \times G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ denote the canonical lift of ϕ_G .

5.1 The A_n 's

Consider $\tilde{G} = SU(n)$, with center $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Then the central subgroups of $\tilde{G}$ are cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}_l$ where l divides n . Write $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_l$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\tilde{G} = SU(n)$, and suppose $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_l$, where l divides n . Then $l_0 = \text{ord}_l(\frac{n}{l})$, where $\text{ord}_l(x)$ denotes the order of $x \bmod l$ in $\mathbb{Z}_l$.*

Proof. It suffices to compute $\tilde{\phi}^*$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for primes p dividing l , since for primes p not dividing l , the map $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients. Therefore $\tilde{\phi}$ induces the zero map on cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, as the Hopf algebra $H^*(SU(n); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is co-commutative.

Recall the following computation due to Baum and Browder [3].

Theorem 5.2. *Let p be a prime dividing l . Let $n = p^r n'$ and $l = p^s l'$ where p is relatively prime to both n' and l' . If $p \neq 2$ or $p = 2$ and $s > 1$, then there exist generators $x_{2i-1} \in H^{2i-1}(G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $i \neq p^r$, and $y \in H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that*

- *As an algebra,*

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \Lambda(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{2p^r-1}, \dots, x_{2n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[y]/(y^{p^r})$$

where $\hat{}$ denotes omission.

- *The reduced coproduct is given by:*

$$\bar{\mu}^*(x_{2i-1}) = \delta_{rs} x_1 \otimes y^{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{i-1} \binom{i-1}{j-1} x_{2j-1} \otimes y^{i-j}, \quad i \geq 2$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\mu}^*(x_1) = \bar{\mu}^*(y) = 0.$$

If $p = 2$ and $s = 1$ then the cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients is as above, with the additional relation that $y = x_1^2$.

Theorem 5.1 is first proved for the case $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_n$. This in turn will be considered in two cases, as it turns out $n = 2 \bmod 4$ requires special attention at the prime 2. The case $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_l$, where $l < n$ will be considered later.

Suppose $l = n$. In this case, it will be shown that $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3)$ is the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ summand in $H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$, and therefore $l_0 = n$.

$n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

In this case, one may deal with all primes p dividing n simultaneously. By Corollary 4.2 in [3] $\pi^*(x_3) = z_3$ is the reduction mod p of the generator with integer coefficients. Since $\pi\tilde{\phi} = \phi$, it suffices to calculate $\phi^*(x_3)$. This is done next with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ coefficients.

To compute $c^*(x_3)$, notice that x_3 is not primitive. In fact, according to Theorem 5.2, $\mu^*(x_3) = x_3 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes y + 1 \otimes x_3$. Therefore, $c^*(x_3) = -x_3 + x_1y$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta^*(1 \times c)^*(x_3 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes y + 1 \otimes x_3) \\ &= \Delta^*(x_3 \otimes 1 - x_1 \otimes y + 1 \otimes c^*(x_3)) \\ &= x_3 - x_1y + c^*(x_3) \end{aligned}$$

To compute $\phi^*(x_3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(x_3) &= (\Delta \times \Delta)^*(1 \times T \times 1)^*(1 \times 1 \times c \times c)^*(\mu \times \mu)^*\mu^*(x_3) \\ &= (\Delta \times \Delta)^*(1 \times T \times 1)^*(1 \times 1 \times c \times c)^*(x_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + x_1 \otimes y \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes y \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes y + 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes y \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_3 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes y + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_3) \\ &= (\Delta \times \Delta)^*(x_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_3 \otimes 1 + \\ &\quad - x_1 \otimes y \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes y - 1 \otimes y \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 \\ &\quad - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes y - 1 \otimes x_3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1y \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes x_1 \otimes 1 \otimes y - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_3 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x_1y) \\ &= x_1 \otimes y - y \otimes x_1 \end{aligned}$$

By using the Bockstein spectral sequence, it will be shown next that $x_1 \otimes y - y \otimes x_1 \in H^3(G^2; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the reduction mod p of an integral class that generates a torsion summand.

Write $n = p^r n'$ where p does not divide n' . As $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1(G) = \mathbb{Z}_n$, by the Universal Coefficient Theorem, $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z})$ contains a $\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n'}$ summand. This implies that $\beta^{(r)}(x_1) = y$ where x_1 , and y are the cohomology classes in Theorem 5.2, and $\beta^{(r)}$ is the r -th Bockstein operator (see [17]).

Therefore $\beta^{(r)}(x_1 \otimes x_1) = y \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes y$ in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H^*(G \times G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. This implies that $y \otimes x_1 - x_1 \otimes y$ is the reduction mod p of a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ summand in $H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ (i.e. ignoring torsion prime to p).

In other words, $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3) = \phi^*(x_3)$ is the reduction mod p of a generator of the torsion summand in $H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$, which is $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Thus the kernel of $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is $n\mathbb{Z}$, and $l_0 = n$.

$$n = 2 \bmod 4$$

First observe that the calculations above remain valid for all primes $p \neq 2$ dividing n . That is, for such primes, $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3) = \phi^*(x_3)$ is the reduction mod p of a generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ summand in $H^3(G^2; \mathbb{Z})$. The same is true at the prime 2, as shown next.

This is done by showing that $\tilde{\phi}_*$ is non-zero with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, and hence $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is non-zero as well with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients. Then, using the Bockstein spectral sequence, it will be verified that $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3)$ is indeed the reduction mod 2 of the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_n$ summand in $H^3(G^2; \mathbb{Z})$.

In fact, it will suffice to consider the case $G = PSU(2)$, since there is a homomorphism $j : PSU(2) \rightarrow PSU(n)$ that induces isomorphisms on $H^q(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ when $q \leq 4$. To see this, consider the diagonal inclusion $\iota : SU(2) \rightarrow SU(n)$ that sends a matrix A to $A \oplus \cdots \oplus A$, which induces a homomorphism $j : PSU(2) \rightarrow PSU(n)$. Since $n = 2 \cdot \text{odd}$, $\iota^* : H^3(SU(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^3(SU(2); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism. And the map j induces an isomorphism on $H_1(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and hence on $H^1(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Recall that for $q = 1, 2$, and 3 , $H^q(PSU(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by x^q , and that $H^4(PSU(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, where $x = x_1$ (in the notation of Theorem 5.2) is the generator in degree 1. Thus j^* is also an isomorphism on $H^q(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ for $q = 2, 3$, and 4 .

Therefore it suffices to consider the covering $SU(2) \rightarrow PSU(2) = SO(3)$, or equivalently, $\pi : S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$.

The strategy is to show $\tilde{\phi}_* \neq 0$ on $H_3(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. (Until further notice, all homology groups are with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$.)

Now, $\phi : \mathbb{R}P^3 \times \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$ restricted to the wedge product $\mathbb{R}P^3 \vee \mathbb{R}P^3$ is null homotopic. This induces a map from the smash product $\varphi : \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$. And since $\mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3$ is simply connected, φ lifts to S^3 . Denote this lift by $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow S^3$.

Since $\mathbb{R}P^3 \times \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3$ is a surjection on homology, it suffices to show $\tilde{\varphi}_*$ is non-zero on $H_3(-)$. As this is a question about homology in degree 3 it is enough to consider the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ restricted to (any subspace containing) the 3-skeleton of $\mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3$. In particular, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is non-trivial on $H_3(-)$ when restricted to $\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3$. The restriction $\tilde{\varphi}|_{\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2}$ will also be denoted $\tilde{\varphi}$ in order to simplify notation.

The homology of $\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2$ is the following (with basis listed in $\langle \quad \rangle$):

$$H_q(\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 1 \\ \langle a \otimes a \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } q = 2 \\ \langle a \otimes b, b \otimes a \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } q = 3 \\ \langle b \otimes b \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } q = 4 \end{cases}$$

where $a \in H_1(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ and $b \in H_2(\mathbb{R}P^2)$ are generators, with Bockstein $\beta(b) = a$. Thus $\beta(b \otimes b) = a \otimes b + b \otimes a$ and $\beta(a \otimes b) = a \otimes a = \beta(b \otimes a)$. For reasons that will be clear in a moment, pick a new basis for $H_3(\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \langle a \otimes b, a \otimes b + b \otimes a \rangle$.

An analysis of the cell structure of $\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2$ shows that there is a cofibration sequence $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^2$, where ΣX denotes the suspension of X . From the long exact sequence in homology, observe that:

- (i) the basis element $a \otimes a$ ‘comes from’ the bottom cell of $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$. i.e. $i_*(\sigma a) = a \otimes a$
- (ii) the basis element $a \otimes b$ ‘comes from’ the top cell of $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$. i.e. $i_*(\sigma b) = a \otimes b$
- (iii) the basis element $a \otimes b + b \otimes a$ ‘comes from’ the bottom cell of $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^2$. i.e. $q_*(a \otimes b + b \otimes a) = \sigma^2 a$
- (iv) the basis element $b \otimes b$ ‘comes from’ the top cell of $\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^2$. i.e. $q_*(b \otimes b) = \sigma^2 b$

where $\sigma : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^{*+1}(\Sigma X)$ denotes the suspension isomorphism.

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_*(a \otimes b + b \otimes a) = \tilde{\varphi}_*(\beta(b \otimes b)) = \beta \tilde{\varphi}_*(b \otimes b) = 0$, it will suffice to check $\tilde{\varphi}_*(a \otimes b) = z_3$. (Using the Bockstein spectral sequence, one finds that this corresponds to the dual statement that $\tilde{\varphi}^*(z_3)$ generates the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ summand, which is consistent with the previous case. Therefore checking the above equality is precisely what is required to prove.) And by (ii), it suffices to show that $\tilde{\varphi}_* \neq 0$ when restricted to $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$. By the following two facts, this is equivalent to showing that the map $\tilde{\varphi} \circ i : \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^3$ is essential.

Fact 5.3. *The set of homotopy classes of maps $[\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2, S^3] = \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. From the cofibration sequence

$$S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^3 \rightarrow S^3$$

there is a long exact sequence

$$[S^3, S^3] \xrightarrow{-\times 2} [S^3, S^3] \rightarrow [\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2, S^3] \rightarrow [S^2, S^3]$$

which gives the result. □

Fact 5.4. *The essential maps $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^3$ induce isomorphisms on H_3 .*

Proof. Again, consider the cofibration sequence $S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^3$ and the corresponding long exact sequence in homology. $\square$

Actually, it is enough to show that the composition $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow S^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R}P^3$ is essential. Indeed, $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2$ is simply connected, so $[\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2, \mathbb{R}P^3] = [\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2, S^3]$.

Claim: There is a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3 \\ & & \downarrow \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle & & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & \Omega\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}P^3 \end{array}$$

Proof of Claim. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2$ denote the adjoint of the identity map on $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2$. The map labeled $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is the Samelson Product of α with itself. (Recall that the Samelson product of two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow G$ where G is an H-group — a topological group up to homotopy — is defined as follows. The restriction to $X \vee X$ of the composition $[f, g] : X \times X \rightarrow G$, given by $[f, g](x, y) = f(x)g(y)f(x)^{-1}g(y)^{-1}$, is null homotopic, and yields a map $\langle f, g \rangle : X \wedge X \rightarrow G$.)

The map $\Omega\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3$ is described next. (Recall that “suspension” is the adjoint functor to “based loop”: $[X, \Omega Y] \cong [\Sigma X, Y]$.) The adjoint of the inclusion $\mathbb{R}P^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^3 \approx \Omega B\mathbb{R}P^3$ gives a map $\rho : \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow B\mathbb{R}P^3$, and hence a map $\Omega(\rho) : \Omega\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega B\mathbb{R}P^3 \approx \mathbb{R}P^3$. (Here, BH denotes the classifying space of the topological group H , and $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is viewed as a topological group via the identification $\mathbb{R}P^3 = SO(3)$.) An important point is that this map is an H-map — a homomorphism up to homotopy — so that (up to homotopy) commutators map to commutators. Therefore the diagram homotopy commutes, and the claim is proved. $\square$

Now suppose for contradiction that the composition $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \xrightarrow{\Omega(\rho)} \mathbb{R}P^3$ is null homotopic. Then there exists a lift $g : \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega Z$ where Z is the homotopy fiber of the map $\rho : \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow B\mathbb{R}P^3$ (and hence ΩZ is the homotopy fiber of the map $\Omega(\rho)$). Consider the restriction of g to the 2-skeleton of $\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2$, namely $S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega Z$. Since $\pi_2(\Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is torsion, then according to the following fact, the map $S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma\mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega Z$ is null homotopic.

Fact 5.5. *(Proposition 6.6 in [20]) $\pi_2(\Omega Z) \cong \mathbb{Z}$*

But then the composition $h : S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega Z \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$ is null homotopic, which is a contradiction by the following fact.

Fact 5.6. (Proposition 6.5 in [20]) $\pi_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}_4$ is generated by the composition $S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$, where η is the Hopf map.

It is a contradiction because the map h is actually the adjoint of twice the generator of $\pi_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2)$. To see this, recall that h is the composition of $S^2 \rightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2$, which is the inclusion of the 2-skeleton, and $\mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2$, which is the Samelson product $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. Therefore h is either composition in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^2 & = & S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{j \wedge j} \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \\ & & \downarrow \langle \iota, \iota \rangle \quad \quad \downarrow \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \\ & & \Omega S^2 \xrightarrow{\Omega \Sigma j} \Omega \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \end{array}$$

where $j : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$ is the inclusion of the 1-skeleton, and ι is the adjoint of the identity on S^2 . (The square commutes, since $\Omega \Sigma j \circ \iota = \alpha \circ j$, and Samelson product is functorial.) Now, the Samelson product $\langle \iota, \iota \rangle$ is the adjoint of the map $[\iota, \iota]$, called the Whitehead product. And the Whitehead product is known to be homotopic to 2η where η is the Hopf map. Therefore the composition h is indeed the adjoint of twice the generator of $\pi_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2)$. This completes the proof of the case $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_n$.

The case $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_l$ where $1 < l < n$ will now be considered. It will be shown that l_0 is the order of $q = \frac{n}{l} \pmod{l}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_l$.

Let $P\tilde{G}$ denote $\tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_n$, and let p be a prime that divides l . Write $l = p^s l'$ and $n = p^r n'$ where p is relatively prime to both l' and n' . Consider the covering homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow P\tilde{G}$ with kernel $\mathbb{Z}_n/\mathbb{Z}_l = \mathbb{Z}_{n/l}$. From the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{G} & = & \tilde{G} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{f} & P\tilde{G} \end{array}$$

it follows that $\tilde{\phi}_G = \tilde{\phi}_{P\tilde{G}} \circ (f \times f)$. If $s = r$, then [3] show that f induces an isomorphism on $H^*(\quad; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Therefore, for such primes p , $\tilde{\phi}_G^*(z_3)$ is the reduction mod p of the generator of the torsion summand of order l in $H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$.

If $s < r$, then by a method similar to the previous cases $\tilde{\phi}_{P\tilde{G}}^*(z_3) = x_1 \otimes y - y \otimes x_1 \in H^3(P\tilde{G} \times P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ is the reduction mod p^s of generator of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$ summand in $H^3(P\tilde{G} \times$

$P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}$). Now, the induced homomorphism $f_* : H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ sends a generator in $H_1(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l$ to $q = \frac{n}{l}$ times a generator of $H_1(P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$. Therefore, $f^* : H^1(P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \rightarrow H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ sends a generator in $H^1(P\tilde{G}; \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ to p^{r-s} times a generator in $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$. Also, from [3], f^* is an isomorphism in dimension 2, which gives $(f \times f)^*(x_1 \otimes y - y \otimes x_1) = p^{r-s}(x_1 \otimes y - y \otimes x_1)$, p^{r-s} times the reduction mod p^s of a generator of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ summand in $H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, for primes p with $s = r$, the reduction mod p^s of the obstruction $\tilde{\phi}_G^*(z_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_l \subset H^3(G \times G; \mathbb{Z})$ generates a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ summand, and for primes p with $s < r$, the reduction mod p^s of the obstruction $\tilde{\phi}_G^*(z_3)$ is p^{r-s} times a generator of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ summand. These observations imply that l_0 , the order of the obstruction $\tilde{\phi}_G^*(z_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_l$ is the order of $q = \frac{n}{l} \bmod \mathbb{Z}_l$ in $\mathbb{Z}_l$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

5.2 The C_n 's

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\tilde{G} = Sp(n)$, with center $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and let $G = \tilde{G}/\mathbb{Z}_2$. If n is even, then $l_0 = 1$. And if n is odd, $l_0 = 2$.*

Proof. First suppose n is even. From the map $g : Sp(n) \rightarrow SU(2n)$, which is known to induce an epimorphism on cohomology (see [3]), there is a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sp(n) & \xrightarrow{g} & SU(2n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ PSp(n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & SU(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

which shows $\tilde{\phi}_{Sp(n)}^*(z'_3) = \tilde{\phi}_{Sp(n)}^*(g^*(z_3)) = (\bar{g} \times \bar{g})^*(\tilde{\phi}_{SU(2n)}^*(z_3)) = 0$, where $z'_3 = g^*(z_3)$ denotes the generator of $H^3(Sp(n))$.

Before proceeding with the remaining case, recall Baum and Browder's result on the cohomology of $PSp(n)$ [3]:

Theorem 5.8. *Write $n = 2^r n'$ where n' is odd. As an algebra:*

$$H^*(PSp(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \Lambda(b_3, \dots, \hat{b}_{2^{r+2}-1}, \dots, b_{4n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2[v]/(v^{2^{r+2}})$$

Now suppose n is odd. The diagonal inclusion $\iota : Sp(1) \rightarrow Sp(n)$ induces a map $j : PSp(1) \rightarrow PSp(n)$. And as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, ι and j induce isomorphisms on $H^q(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ in dimensions $q \leq 3$. But $Sp(1) = SU(2)$, and this was done in the last section. Recall that in this case, $\tilde{\phi}^*(z_3)$ was the reduction mod 2 of the generator of the torsion summand. As $Sp(n)$ has only 2-torsion, the proof is complete. $\square$

5.3 The B_n 's and the D_n 's

Recall that the B_n 's have simply connected representative $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n+1)$ and center $\mathbb{Z}_2$, where of course $PSpin(2n+1) = SO(2n+1)$. And the D_n 's have simply connected representative $\tilde{G} = Spin(2n)$ whose center is $\mathbb{Z}_4$ if n is odd, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ if n is even. In this case, the non-simply connected representatives occur in three types $PSpin(2n) = PSO(2n)$, $SO(2n)$, and the semi-spinor group $Ss(2n)$, which are $\tilde{G}/\Gamma$ for Γ the full center, and different central subgroups of order 2. In particular, (see [7]) if a denotes the generator of the kernel of the double cover $Spin(2n) \rightarrow SO(2n)$, and b denotes another generator of the center $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ of $Spin(2n)$, then $Ss(2n) = Spin(2n)/\langle b \rangle$. For later use (in the proof of Theorem 5.11), note that there is a covering homomorphism $f : Ss(2n) \rightarrow PSO(2n)$.

5.3.1 $G = SO(n)$, $n \geq 7$

Consider first $G = SO(n)$, with universal covering group $\tilde{G} = Spin(n)$. The covering projection $\pi : Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$ induces an epimorphism on $H^3(\quad; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and according to [15], the generator of $H^3(Spin(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is $\pi^*(x_3)$, where $x_3 \in H^3(SO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is primitive. It therefore suffices to calculate $\phi^*(x_3)$. But since x_3 is primitive, $\phi^*(x_3) = 0$. This proves:

Theorem 5.9. *For $G = SO(n)$, $l_0 = 1$.*

Remark 5.10. (a) *This completes the analysis of the B_n 's.*

(b) *This completes the analysis of the $SO(2n)$ type within the D_n 's.*

5.3.2 $G = PSO(2n)$, $n \geq 4$

Theorem 5.11. *Let $G = PSO(2n)$. If n is odd $l_0 = 4$. If n is even $l_0 = 2$.*

Proof. The method is very much like the proof of 5.1, relying on the results of Baum and Browder [3] concerning the cohomology of $PSO(2n)$. That is, one finds that the covering projection $Spin(2n) \rightarrow PSO(2n)$ induces an epimorphism on $H^3(\quad; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (when $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$). Then one may compute ϕ^* instead, and use the knowledge of the Bockstein spectral sequence to sort out what happens integrally.

When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, this method fails. Write $n = 4k$, where k is odd. Since $H^3(PSO(4k)^2; \mathbb{Z})$ contains only 2-torsion, it will suffice to show that $\tilde{\phi}^* \neq 0$ on $H^3(\quad; \mathbb{Z})$. To that end, consider

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Spin(4k) & = & Spin(4k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Ss(4k) & \xrightarrow{f} & PSO(4k) \end{array}$$

Therefore, $(f \times f)^* \tilde{\phi}_{PSO(4k)}^* = \tilde{\phi}_{Ss(4k)}^*$. Since $\tilde{\phi}_{Ss(4k)}^* \neq 0$ (by Theorem 5.12), the map $\tilde{\phi}_{PSO(4k)}^*$ is non-zero. $\square$

5.3.3 $G = Ss(4n)$

Theorem 5.12. *Let $G = Ss(4n)$. If n is odd, $l_0 = 2$. If n is even, $l_0 = 1$.*

Proof. If n is even, the covering projection $Spin(4n) \rightarrow Ss(4n)$ induces an epimorphism on $H^3(-; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ (see [7]), and since the generator in $H^3(Ss(4n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is primitive, $\phi^* = 0$.

If n is odd, there is a map $g : \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow Ss(4n)$ (constructed in the next paragraph) that induces a weak homotopy equivalence in degrees ≤ 3 . Therefore g is covered by a map $\tilde{g} : S^3 \rightarrow Spin(4n)$ that induces an isomorphism on $H^3(-; \mathbb{Z})$. And since $\mu_{\mathbb{R}P^3}^* g^* = (g \times g)^* \mu_{Ss(4n)}^*$ on $H^3(-; \mathbb{Z})$, it follows that $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathbb{R}P^3}^* \tilde{g}^* = (g \times g)^* \tilde{\phi}_{Ss(4n)}^*$. Therefore this case reduces to Theorem 5.1, and the image of $\tilde{\phi}^*$ is 2-torsion.

The map g may be constructed as follows. Recall that $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is obtained (as a CW complex) from $\mathbb{R}P^2$ by attaching a 3-cell with attaching map $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2$ the quotient map. Similarly, $\mathbb{R}P^2$ is obtained from S^1 by attaching a 2-cell with attaching map $2 : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$, the degree 2 map. Let $f : S^1 \rightarrow Ss(4n)$ represent a generator of $\pi_1(Ss(4n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. The composition $f \circ 2$ is null homotopic, and therefore extends to a map $F : \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow Ss(4n)$. And since the composition $S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^2 \rightarrow Ss(4n)$ is null homotopic (as $\pi_2(Ss(4n)) = 0$), F extends to a map $g : \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow Ss(4n)$. By the structure of the cohomology rings of $\mathbb{R}P^3$ and $Ss(4n)$ (see [7]), g induces an isomorphism in $H^q(-; \mathbb{Z})$ for $q \leq 3$, since g induces an isomorphism on $\pi_1(-)$. $\square$

5.4 The Exceptionals

Of the exceptional groups, only E_6 and E_7 have non-zero centers: namely $\mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively. As usual, let PG denote the quotient of G by its center.

Theorem 5.13. *For $G = PE_6$, $l_0 = 3$. And for $G = PE_7$, $l_0 = 2$.*

Proof. Kono [8] provides the necessary information about the cohomology of PE_6 and PE_7 . In particular, the covering projection $E_6 \rightarrow PE_6$ is onto on H^3 , so it suffices to compute ϕ^* instead. The calculation is similar to the ones of the previous section, and one finds again that $\phi^*(x_3)$ is the reduction mod 3 of the torsion summand.

For PE_7 , there is a map $g : \mathbb{R}P^3 \rightarrow PE_7$ which is a weak homotopy equivalence dimensions ≤ 3 , as in the proof of Theorem 5.12. $\square$

A Pre-quantization of Hamiltonian loop group manifolds

For a compact Lie group G , [1] shows that there is a bijective correspondence between quasi-Hamiltonian spaces with group-valued moment map and Hamiltonian loop group spaces. This correspondence is discussed next, in relation to pre-quantization when G is simple and simply connected.

It may be useful to quickly review some background concerning loop groups, and their central extensions. Technical issues concerning smoothness conditions on mapping spaces are ignored; the reader may consult [14], where these details are considered.

Let $LG = \text{Map}(S^1, G)$, and let $L\mathfrak{g} = \text{Map}(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$ denote its Lie algebra. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be an invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Define $L\mathfrak{g}^* := \Omega^1(S^1; \mathfrak{g})$ with pairing $L\mathfrak{g} \times L\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $(\xi, A) \mapsto \int_{S^1} (\xi, A)$, which identifies $L\mathfrak{g}^* \subset (L\mathfrak{g})^*$.

Let $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ with Lie bracket determined by:

$$[(\xi_1, t_1), (\xi_2, t_2)] := ([\xi_1, \xi_2], \int_{S^1} (\xi_1, d\xi_2))$$

Dually, define $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}^* := L\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and consider the pairing $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}} \times \widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $((\xi, a), (A, \lambda)) = \int_{S^1} (\xi, A) + a\lambda$.

The following result is well known (see [16]).

Theorem A.1. *There is a unique (non-trivial) central extension $\widehat{LG}$ of LG by S^1 with Lie algebra $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$ if and only if the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is kB , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and B denotes the basic inner product. The coadjoint action of $\widehat{LG}$ on $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}^*$ factors through LG , and is explicitly given by:*

$$g \cdot (A, \lambda) = (\text{Ad}_g(A) - \lambda g^* \theta^R, \lambda)$$

Identifying $L\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $L\mathfrak{g}^* \times \{\lambda\} \subset \widehat{LG}^*$ gives an action of LG on $L\mathfrak{g}^*$, which is called the level λ action. When $\lambda = 1$, this action coincides with the usual action of LG (viewed as the group of gauge transformations) on the space of connections on the trivial principal G bundle over S^1 .

From now on, $\widehat{LG}$ will denote the basic central extension (i.e. corresponding to $k = 1$). Note that this central extension is simply connected.

Definition A.2. A Hamiltonian loop group manifold at level $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a triple $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ consisting of a symplectic Banach manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma)$ equipped with a Hamiltonian LG action, with equivariant moment map $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow L\mathfrak{g}^*$ satisfying the moment map condition $\iota_{\xi^\#} \sigma = d(\varphi, \xi)$. (Note that $L\mathfrak{g}^*$ comes equipped with the level λ action of LG .)

The LG -space $\mathcal{M}$ is often viewed as an $\widehat{LG}$ space where the central circle acts trivially, with constant moment map λ .

Definition A.3. A pre-quantization of $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$, a Hamiltonian LG -space at level λ , is an $\widehat{LG}$ -equivariant principal $U(1)$ -bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ equipped with an $\widehat{LG}$ -invariant connection A satisfying $\pi^* \sigma = -dA$, and $(\pi^* \varphi, \zeta) = A(\zeta^\#)$, where $\zeta^\#$ is the generating vector field on P for $\zeta \in \widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$.

Remark A.4. A pre-quantization of a Hamiltonian LG -space places restrictions on both the level λ (which must be an integer) and the symplectic form σ (which must be integral). Indeed, since the moment map for the (trivial) circle action on $\mathcal{M}$ is the constant λ , one sees that corresponding circle action on the fibers of $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is of weight λ , and must therefore be an integer.

Since the based loop group $\Omega G \subset LG$ acts freely on $L\mathfrak{g}^*$, by equivariance of φ , it acts freely on $\mathcal{M}$; therefore there is a pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & L\mathfrak{g}^* \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hol} \\ M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \end{array}$$

When φ is proper, the quotient $M = \mathcal{M}/\Omega G$ is a finite dimensional manifold. The following result of [1] describes the correspondence between quasi-Hamiltonian spaces with group-valued moment map and Hamiltonian loop group spaces.

Theorem A.5. (*Equivalence Theorem*) Let $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ be a Hamiltonian LG -space with proper moment map φ , and let M and ϕ be as above. There is a unique two-form ω on M with $q^*\omega = \sigma + \varphi^*\varpi$ with the property that (M, ω, ϕ) is a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space. Conversely, given a quasi-Hamiltonian G -space (M, ω, ϕ) there is a unique Hamiltonian LG -space $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ such that $M = \mathcal{M}/\Omega G$.

Before relating the pre-quantization of Hamiltonian loop group spaces with quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces, consider the following situation. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ is a level k ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) Hamiltonian LG -space, and suppose $\pi : P \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a principal $U(1)$ bundle with connection A , whose curvature is σ . The formula

$$\zeta^\# = \text{Lift}(\zeta^\#) + (\pi^*\varphi, \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

due to Kostant [9] gives a lift of the $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$ -action on $\mathcal{M}$ to an $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$ -action on P , which preserves A . Here, $\text{Lift}(X)$ denotes the horizontal lift of the vector field X on $\mathcal{M}$ determined by the connection A , $\zeta^\#$ denotes the generating vector field of $\zeta \in \widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$ (on $\mathcal{M}$ or P , depending on the context), and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ denotes the generating vector field of the $U(1)$ -action on P .

As $\widehat{LG}$ is connected and simply connected, the $\widehat{L\mathfrak{g}}$ -action on P integrates to an $\widehat{LG}$ -action, yielding an $\widehat{LG}$ -equivariant principal $U(1)$ -bundle satisfying the conditions of a pre-quantization. In particular, the obstructions in remark A.4 are the only ones (compare with Proposition 3.5):

Proposition A.6. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ is a level k Hamiltonian LG -space ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). If the cohomology class $[\sigma] \in H^2(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{R})$ is integral, then $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$ admits a pre-quantization.

The above proposition and the theorem below are based on the unpublished work of A. Alekseev, and E. Meinrenken [2].

Theorem A.7. [2] Under the correspondence in the Equivalence Theorem, a pre-quantization of the quasi-Hamiltonian G -space (M, ω, ϕ) corresponds to a pre-quantization of the Hamiltonian LG -space $(\mathcal{M}, \sigma, \varphi)$.

Proof. By the previous proposition it suffices to show that $[(\omega, \eta)]$ is integral if and only if $[\sigma]$ is integral. The above pull-back diagram induces a map $(q, \text{Hol})^* : H^3(\phi) \rightarrow H^3(\varphi)$, which is an isomorphism for connectivity reasons. Indeed, the above pull-back diagram identifies $\mathcal{M}$ as the homotopy fiber of the map $\phi : M \rightarrow G$. The map of pairs (q, Hol) is homotopy

equivalence in dimensions $\leq q$ if and only if the map of pairs (φ, ϕ) is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions $\leq q$. By Ganea's Theorem (see [17]) (φ, ϕ) is a homotopy equivalence in dimensions ≤ 3 , as G is 2-connected and $\mathcal{M}$ is connected. Alternatively, one could argue with the Serre exact sequence for the homotopy fibration $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow G$.

Now, the connecting map $\delta : H^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^3(\varphi)$ in the long exact sequence in cohomology for the map φ is an isomorphism, induced from the chain map $\alpha \mapsto (\alpha, 0)$. Thus the theorem is proved after observing:

$$\begin{aligned} (q, \text{Hol})^*[(\omega, \eta)] &= [(q^*\omega, \text{Hol}^*\eta)] \\ &= [(\sigma + \varphi^*\varpi, d\varpi)] \\ &= [(\sigma, 0) + d(0, \varpi)] \\ &= [(\sigma, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin, E. Meinrenken, Lie group valued moment maps, J. Differential Geom. 48 (3) (1998) 445–495.
- [2] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, Unpublished results.
- [3] P. F. Baum, W. Browder, The cohomology of quotients of classical groups, Topology 3 (1965) 305–336.
- [4] G. Felder, K. Gawędzki, A. Kupiainen, Spectra of Wess-Zumino-Witten models with arbitrary simple groups, Comm. Math. Phys. 117 (1) (1988) 127–158.
- [5] V. Guillemin, V. Ginzburg, Y. Karshon, Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions, vol. 98 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, appendix J by Maxim Braverman.
- [6] V. W. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory, Mathematics Past and Present, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, with an appendix containing two reprints by Henri Cartan [MR0042426 (13,107e); MR0042427 (13,107f)].

- [7] K. Ishitoya, A. Kono, H. Toda, Hopf algebra structure of mod 2 cohomology of simple Lie groups, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 12 (1) (1976/77) 141–167.
- [8] A. Kono, Hopf algebra structure of simple Lie groups, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 17 (2) (1977) 259–298.
- [9] B. Kostant, Quantization and unitary representations, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 28 (1(169)) (1973) 163–225, translated from the English (Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications, III, pp. 87–208, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 170, Springer, Berlin, 1970) by A. A. Kirillov.
- [10] C. Laurent-Gengoux, P. Xu, Quantization of pre-quasi-symplectic groupoids and their Hamiltonian spaces, in: *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*, vol. 232 of *Progr. Math.*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 423–454.
- [11] W. S. Massey, *A basic course in algebraic topology*, vol. 127 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [12] E. Meinrenken, The basic gerbe over a compact simple Lie group, *Enseign. Math.* (2) 49 (3-4) (2003) 307–333.
- [13] E. Meinrenken, R. Sjamaar, Singular reduction and quantization, *Topology* 38 (4) (1999) 699–762.
- [14] E. Meinrenken, C. Woodward, Hamiltonian loop group actions and Verlinde factorization, *J. Differential Geom.* 50 (3) (1998) 417–469.
- [15] M. Mimura, H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups. I, II*, vol. 91 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991, translated from the 1978 Japanese edition by the authors.
- [16] A. Pressley, G. Segal, *Loop groups*, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1986, , Oxford Science Publications.
- [17] P. Selick, *Introduction to homotopy theory*, vol. 9 of *Fields Institute Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [18] Z. Shahbazi, Prequantization of quasi-Hamiltonian spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2006) Art. ID 29354, 22.

- [19] V. Toledano Laredo, Positive energy representations of the loop groups of non-simply connected Lie groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 207 (2) (1999) 307–339.
- [20] J. Wu, Homotopy theory of the suspensions of the projective plane, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 162 (769) (2003) x+130.